

Nuclear Power Systems for Space Applications

Valère Girardin

ESA HQ Daumesnil

12/05/2023

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – Releasable to the Public

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

 $| \bullet |$

Goal of this presentation



- What is ESA ?
- What we prepare for the future of space transportation ?
- Why nuclear propulsion ?
- Why now ?







What is ESA?







EUROPE'S GATEWAY TO SPACE

WHAT	22 Member States, 5000 employees	
WHY	Exploration and use of space for exclusively peaceful purposes	
WHERE	HQ in Paris, 7 sites across Europe and a spaceport in French Guiana	
HOW MUCH	€6.49 billion = €12 per European per year	

• → THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY (1) ____

Who Benefits?



YOU OUR ECONOMY OUR PLANET OUR FUTURE



Focus on space transportation





Introduction to FLPP of STS Future Preparation







What we prepare for the future of space transportation ?





How does transport work on Earth?



What do we transport



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY *





A STILLING

*

European Space Agency

End-to-end transport / space logistics approach



Goal

Anticipate & prepare early to:

- ↓ cost
- ↓ time to market
- ↑ performance
- Enable missions
- ↓ risk
- ↓ environmental impact

Space Logistics Approach

- Optimise end-to-end Transport Service in the whole value chain
- Enable multi-mission
- Ensure consistency and compatibility of new activities
- Consider new tendencies at early stage of system and techno development (ex: green, digital)



We prepare end-to-end proofs of concepts within **4 Space Logistics Blocks**

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 ┿ 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 📲 🔚 🚛 📲 🔤 🛶 🚳 🛌 📲 🚼 🖬 📰 📾 📾 🗠 👘 → The European space agency



Why nuclear propulsion ?



With nuclear propulsion, we could have performances beyond neither solar electric propulsion or chemical propulsion can ever achieve

> To travel far

To travel fast

Explore where sunlight is too dim for solar energy

Reduce the transfer time



💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🧮 🔚 📲 🔚 📲 🚟 📥 🚳 🍉 📲 👫 📲 🖬 📾 📾 🗠 🏘 🖓

The use of nuclear systems depends on the need





ENDURE On-going activity

RocketRoll Preliminary study

ALUMNI Preliminary study

ENDURE: "EuropeaN Devices Using Radioisotope Energy"

RocketRoll:

pReliminary eurOpean reCKon on nuclEar elecTric pROpuLsion for space appLications

ALUMNI: "preliminAry eLements on nUclear therMal propulsioN for space applications"



Why now ?

* + .

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

When there is a will, there is a way





"The restriction of ESA missions to non-nuclear sources of power severely limits the ability of the ESA Science Programme to address important scientific goals in more distant and dimly-lit regions of the Solar System [...]. The Senior Committee is aware of technology developments within Europe and wish to clearly highlight that the lack of our ability to utilise such power and heat sources on future missions will continue to limit the capacity of ESA's Science Programme."

Final recommendations from the Voyage 2050 Senior Committee, ESA programme, 2021 [1]





Nuclear electric propulsion, nuclear thermal propulsion, nuclear vehicle and safety are identified as enabling & emerging technologies for human spaceflight & exploration

ESA technology strategy update, 2022 [2]



"The development of European nuclear space capabilities for power and propulsion is an endeavour that will require sustained commitment and substantial investment over at least two decades. Building a robust, resilient and affordable long-term European capability will not be easy but it is crucial".

European Nuclear Society, Position paper, 2022 [3]

Is nuclear energy technology of the past or the future ?



You want to know which trend it will be ?

Stay tunned or join the adventure...



Grazie per la votra attenzione



References



- 1. Linda J. T., Christopher S. A. and al., Voyage 2050 Final recommendations from the Voyage 2050 Senior Committee, May 2021.
- 2. ESA, "ESA technology strategy update", ESA-TDE-TECT-HO-2022-000464, February 2022
- 3. European Nuclear Society, "Nuclear Energy for Space Exploration", Position Paper of the ENS High Scientific Council, September 2022.
- 4. "Summerer, L., Bruno G., and Giacinto G. "Esa's approach to nuclear power sources for space applications." *Proceedings of ICAPP*. Vol. 13. 2007.

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY



Back up slides

FLPP in a nutshell ...





→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

What Does ESA Do?



ALL OF THIS IS POSSIBLE THANKS TO THE COLLABORATION OF MEMBER STATES

ESA is active across every area of the space sector

World leader in science and technology

Over 80 satellites developed, tested, and operated since 1975

More than 220 launches from Europe's Spaceport in Kourou

Europe's Spaceport



European launchers lift off from Europe's Spaceport in French Guiana.

- The launch range is co-funded by ESA and France and is operated by the French space agency CNES.
- The launch infrastructure for the Ariane 5, Vega and Soyuz launchers at CSG is owned by ESA, maintained and operated by Arianespace, with the support of European industry.



💻 __ 8 8 2 __ 9 ♣ 8 8 🔄 __ 8 8 8 3 = ₩ 2 → 1HE EURO

Space Transportation & Technology of the Future: Ariane 6 & Vega C





- Ariane 6 modular three-stage launcher with two configurations, using two (A62) or four boosters (A64)
- **Vega C** evolution of Vega with increased performance and same launch service cost
- Common solid rocket motor for Ariane 6 boosters and Vega C first stage
- New governance for Ariane 6 development and exploitation allocating increased roles and responsibilities to industry

Space Transportation & Technology of the Future





Space Rider

 An affordable, reusable, end-to-end integrated transport system offering Europe independent access to and from low Earth orbit.

Future Launchers Preparatory Programme (FLPP)

Develop competitive technologies for future launchers

Commercial Space Transportation Services and Support

ESA's long-term vision to build economic resilience within Europe's space transportation sector.

The tool to create commercially successful, privately funded initiatives for new space transport services.

Space Nuclear Propulsion: Terminology





Cassini spacecraft RTGs



Russian PuO₂ 8.5W RHU: Mars 96



Space modified PWR – radiator (SURE – PoliMi design)



Gas-cooled – particle bed – radiator (SNPS-200; *QinetiQ*)₂₇

Space Nuclear Propulsion: Mission Enabler



Mission architecture study activities [4] have

- > underlined the important role of nuclear power and propulsion systems
- > confirmed their criticality for some mission scenarios.



Moon



Near Earth Objects

for human missions to Mars - Mass to LEO/ Jaunch costs

Nuclear Propulsion

- Travel time

<u>Nuclear Power</u> for surface ops - Solar-independent, long-

- duration/long-range ops
- Thermo-control for night survival and ops
- Redundancy



Nuclear Propulsion

Nuclear Power for surface ops

- Travel time

- Solar-independent ops

for robotic deep space missions

- Thermocontrol for night survival and ops

- Mass to LEO/ launch costs



Deep Space

- Nuclear Power for orbit /surface ops
- Solar-independent ops
- Thermocontrol for night survival and ops

Nuclear Power for human surface ops - Long-duration stays - Long-range operations

- Redundancy

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🛶 💵 🔚 🔚 🔜 📲 🚍 🛻 🚳 🛌 📲 🗮 🕳 🚥 🚳

Nuclear Propulsion: Performance limitations



Electrical Propulsion: [2, 8, 13, 22]

- > EP thrusters:
 - Power/thrust: 17 ÷ 35kWe/Newton
 - Very high lsp: 1'000÷ 12'000s
 - Thrust levels: mN÷N
 - Beyond 100kWe: MPD-thrusters (1 ÷ 100N)
 - M3: ~20N ÷ 400N thrust needed
- Requires a Nuclear Power Source.
 - Current Telecom power bus: 25kW SEP vs Naval reactor: ~500MW
 - 1MWe reactor would give ~30N ÷ 60N
 - Low overall efficiency: 30-40%
 - Need of heavy radiators: 0.4-1 kW/kg



200 kW MPD thruster. Credit: NASA

t Stat	M_t	C_t	η	η_e	η_m	P	Isp	F(R)	F	D	Typical Reference	THRUSTER (Source)
)	(kg)	(W/mN)	(%)	(%)	(%)	<i>(W)</i>	(s)	(mN)	(mN)	(cm)		
												Kaufman
EM EM	1.2	31.0	46	59	78	121	2890	1.5 - 6	3.9	5	Gorshkov et al (1999)	KERC 5 (Russia)
ó F	1.6	25.7	62	78	79	463	3248	0.3 - 71	18	10	Fearn and Smith (1998)	T5 (UK)
) U/Q	4.9	26.4	62	78	80	616	2897	18.6 - 27.9	23.3	12	Ozaki et al (2000)	Melco (Japan)
5 EM	6.5	25.7	70	82	86	3870	3660	30 - 200	150	22	Wallace et al (1999)	T6 (UK)
												MESC
) F	5.0	24.6	54	69	79	439	2585	Set point	17.8	13	Beattie et al (1993)	XIPS-13 (USA)
A F	N/A	25.5	≈ 73	≈ 83	≈ 88	4200	3800	Set point	165	25	Beattie et al (1985)	XIPS-25 (USA)
F	8.3	25.1	71	85	84	2310	3280	19.5 - 92.7	92	30	Christensen et al (1999)	NSTAR (USA)
												Radiofrequency
ó F	1.6	31.7	52	74	70	476	3324	5 - 25	15	10	Killinger et al (2000)	RIT-10 (Germany)
A EM	N/A	25.7	77	83	93	2570	4054	15 - 185	100	21	Leiter et al (2003)	RIT-XT (Germany)
A EM	N/A	34.0	72	85	85	6800	3500	10 - 240	200	25	Bassner et al (1997)	ESA-XX (ESA)
) EM	9.0	28.5	55	69	80	2965	3193	50 - 200	104	35	Groh et al (1990)	RIT-35 (Germany)
												High Frequency RF
5 F	2.35	47.6	32	52	61	320	2920	4.3 - 8.1	8.1	12	Kuninaka et al (2000)	Muses-C (4.2 GHz) (Japan)
A EM	N/A	34.6	43	68	64	173	3030	2 - 8	5	9.5	Capacci and Noci (!998)	RMT (150 kHz) (Italy)
	5. N/ 8. 1. N/ 9. 2.: N/	24.6 25.5 25.1 31.7 25.7 34.0 28.5 47.6 34.6	$54 \\ \approx 73 \\ 71 \\ 52 \\ 77 \\ 72 \\ 55 \\ 32 \\ 43 \\ $	$69 \approx 83$ 85 = 74 83 = 85 69 = 52 68 = 68	$79 \approx 88 \\ 84 \\ 70 \\ 93 \\ 85 \\ 80 \\ 61 \\ 64 \\ 64$	439 4200 2310 476 2570 6800 2965 320 173	2585 3800 3280 3324 4054 3500 3193 2920 3030	Set point Set point 19.5 - 92.7 5 - 25 15 - 185 10 - 240 50 - 200 4.3 - 8.1 2 - 8	17.8 165 92 15 100 200 104 8.1 5	13 25 30 10 21 25 35 12 9.5	Beattie et al (1993) Beattie et al (1985) Christensen et al (1999) Killinger et al (2000) Leiter et al (2003) Bassner et al (1997) Groh et al (1990) Kuninaka et al (2000) Capacci and Noci (!998)	MESC XIPS-13 (USA) XIPS-25 (USA) NSTAR (USA) Radiofrequency RIT-10 (Germany) RIT-XT (Germany) ESA-XX (ESA) RIT-35 (Germany) High Frequency RF Muses-C (4.2 GHz) (Japan) RMT (150 kHz) (Italy)



Nuclear Propulsion: Performance limitations



Bypass valve

From tank

- > Chemical Propulsion: [3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 21]
 - > NTP thrusters:
 - Specific power for reactor: ~135 ÷ 450kWt/ton (TOPAZ)
 - High Isp: $600 \div 1000s$ (on the basis of H2)
 - Thrust levels: kN÷MN
 - T/W: 3 ÷ 5
 - M3 mission: 110kN (~450MWt ; 3.2ton)
 - > To heat up directly propellant (NTP: nuclear thermal propulsion).
 - Turbo-fed System
 - Open reactor core direct contact
 - Closed reactor core indirect contact



Nuclear Propulsion: Application Overview



